

## SLAVERY AND BLACKMAIL - MADE IN SWITZERLAND

Ignited by, **Andreas Ambach** and **Oliver Vuillaume**

### BACKGROUND

This story is about how Swiss banker, **ANDREAS AMBACH**, enslaves a financial advisor for six years, then engages a Swiss lawyer, **OLIVER VUILLAUME** to threaten and blackmail him.

The following statements accompany **OLIVER VUILLAUME'S** blackmail agreements to the Financial advisor (below).

Note: Both are translated from German.

*“If you sign Mr Ambach’s demands, he will,*

- *not file criminal defamation charges against you but will not renounce the right to do so.*
- *pay the debt he owes you, otherwise, he will not.*
- *be protected by law and no longer require protection of the Swiss prosecutor and police.*
- *show his lack of interest of putting you and your family into further difficulties with police.*
- *have no interest in the criminal proceedings that will continue to influence your life.*
- *stop law enforcement before any further reactions occur.*
- *discontinue his need for protection from authorities.*

*If you do not sign Mr Ambach’s demands, he will,*

- *continue using Swiss law enforcement against you.*
- *continue to take legal or official action*
- *continue seeking protection from authorities.*
- *file criminal defamation charges against you.*
- *put you in deeper trouble with the police.*
- *have Swiss law enforcement deal with you.*
- *proceed to take legal action through the authorities.*
- *expressly reserve all rights against you.*
- *have no basis for negotiation and I must continue to guarantee Mr. Ambach’s protection*

Agreements follow below.....

### AGREEMENT – ONE



Bihrer Attorneys at Law Ltd.  
Bahnhofstrasse 28a / Paradeplatz  
CH - 8022 Zurich, Switzerland.  
[www.bihrerlaw.ch](http://www.bihrerlaw.ch)

Between

**Financial Advisor**

and

**Andreas Ambach**, Schützenstrasse 37, 8702 Zollikon, Switzerland. (debtor).

## **AGREE**

(...) absolutely unprejudicial and without recognition of any legal obligation, Andreas Ambach, again offers to pay his financial advisor a certain amount, but only on condition and in return that Andreas Ambach immediately never hears anything ever again about this from his financial advisor. Against this background, the parties herewith agree:

1. Financial advisor undertakes,

(a) never again to contact Andreas Ambach forever and ever.

(b) not to make or disseminate to any third party any negative or potentially unfavorable manifestations of any kind about Andreas Ambach, his family or his social environment;

(c) to withdraw without reservation all charges you have initiated against Andreas Ambach and clean his debt record as though it never existed, thus reinstate his good credit rating and reputation. to set Andreas Ambach as if he had never initiated such steps (for example, in particular to ensure any entries in debt collection agency are all deleted). The cost of any such procedures shall be borne by Financial advisor No claims are made for party damages.

By signing this agreement, Financial advisor also grants Andreas Ambach **power of attorney** to make the necessary withdrawal and deletion applications in accordance with clause 1c) with the corresponding debt enforcement agency and judicial authorities that were opened against Andreas Ambach by Financial advisor and grants Andreas Ambach rights to withdraw and delete the corresponding applications himself.

In the event of a breach by Financial advisor of any of its obligations, Financial advisor will owe Andreas Ambach a penalty of **CHF 25,000.00**, payable for each and every individual infringement, this does not release Financial advisor from the fulfillment of his obligations to Andreas Ambach and Andreas Ambach expressly reserves all rights to assert further damages against Financial advisor and to claim any other rights which are due to him.

2. **In exchange**, Andreas Ambach undertakes to transfer the amount of CHF ..... to his Financial advisor within 5 days after the signing of the present agreement to ..... bank account.

3. Upon completion of this Agreement, the parties shall be fully consulted on the balance of all mutual claims arising from the services provided by Financial advisor for Andreas Ambach.

Financial advisor expressly has no further claims of any kind more against Andreas Ambach, no matter from which title.

4. This Agreement is subject in all respects to Swiss substantive law, with the exclusion of the right of conflict. Exclusive jurisdiction (and, in the case of a foreign domicile of Financial advisor, also the special business domicile of Financial advisor within the meaning of Article 50 para. 2 SchKG), for any disputes arising out of or in connection with this Agreement is Zurich 7.

## AGREEMENT - TWO



RECHTSANWÄLTE AG

Bihrer Attorneys at Law Ltd.  
Bahnhofstrasse 28a / Paradeplatz  
CH - 8022 Zurich, Switzerland.  
[www.bihrerlaw.ch](http://www.bihrerlaw.ch)

Financial advisor hereby agrees, **to immediately** and for an indefinite period to,

a) make no further utterances or spread more to third parties about Andreas Ambach and / or about his personal, social, professional and religious environment.

This applies in particular, but not only, to statements that Andreas Ambach and / or his environment, explicitly or implicitly, present or make appear to be;

- criminal / delinquent / offender (e.g., thief, cheat, tax evader / fraudster, etc.) / dishonest / deceitful
- sneaky / liar / scammer / hypocrite / impostor / sick / mental / mentally disturbed / Incestuous
- brazenly arrogant / incredulous / pathetic /disgraceful / incompetent / stupid /money grabber / stingy
- corrupt / bad debtor / insolvent / not creditworthy / parasite / freeloader / cowardly / whiner / wimp
- ridiculous / clown / joker.

In addition, in general, I undertake not to utter or disclose any further statements that violate Andreas Ambach's honor, which is protected under criminal and civil law. Or to injure or belittle him as other than an honest person and person of integrity.

The term "utterances" in the sense of the preceding paragraphs includes any kind of communication, besides words as well as pictures, photo images, gestures etc.

The term "statements" in the sense of the preceding paragraphs encompasses all types of communication, including words, pictures, photos, gestures etc. The term "third party" covers not only natural and legal persons, but also any organizations, authorities etc. Andreas Ambach's "environment" includes, but is not limited to, his family, his friends, employers and business associates, Jehovah's Witnesses and his lawyer, Olivier Vuillaume.

b) Never to contact Andreas Ambach and his family again (neither personally, by phone, in writing, by e-mail, SMS, Whatsapp, via social media or otherwise), neither directly nor indirectly, and to immediately keep away from Andreas Ambach and his family.

To sum up and to put it in a simplified way, I therefore undertake (1.) to cease any contact with Andreas Ambach and his family and (2.) from now on, to remain silent about Andreas Ambach and his entire environment.

In the event I violate any of my above obligations, I will pay Mr Ambach a contractual penalty of **CHF 50'000.00**, owed **for each individual violation**, whereby the payment of any contractual penalties does not release from me from further compliance with Mr. Ambach's demands. Mr. Ambach also reserves the right to claim further damages in full and he remains entitled to prosecute me before other competent courts.

In all respects, this agreement is subject to Swiss substantive law, with the exclusion of the conflict of laws provisions. The place of jurisdiction for disputes arising from or in connection with this declaration of cease and desist is Zurich 1, where I would also establish a special domicile within the meaning of Art. 50 Para. 2 SchKG. Mr. Ambach also reserves all rights to sue me before any other competent courts if I should violate this cease and desist declaration.

----- END of agreements -----

## AFTERMATH

The financial advisor refuses to sign Ambach's and Vuillaume's unwarranted blackmail demands and instead reports Vuillaume to the Swiss law society for misconduct.

Ambach's brother writes to the financial advisor,

- “I have often seen how he has taken advantage of people who helped him and meant well for him and then treated them like dirt. These people were all very afraid and shocked that someone could behave like that, especially with his religious background. It's not for nothing that his own mother doesn't want anything to do with him anymore”.
- “he never sticks to what he says or promises”.

Ambach's obsession for revenge turns to malfeasance. He files criminal defamation charges against the advisor and Vuillaume emails,

- “I can imagine that having your ex-wife and debt collector interrogated by police is unpleasant for you.”
- “Ambach has no interest that the criminal proceedings will continue to influence your life.”
- “I believe it is possible to stop law enforcement agencies before further reactions occur”.
- “If you sign the agreements and withdraw all statements you have made to third parties, Ambach will withdraw his complaint and declare his disinterest in the prosecution.”
- “If you don't sign, Swiss police continue interrogating your friends and family and a Swiss and international police manhunt for you will intensify.”
- “any lawyer will tell you that there can not be a better deal for you”.

Olivier Vuillaume  
Bihrer Attorneys at Law Ltd.  
Bahnhofstrasse 28a / Paradeplatz  
CH - 8022 Zurich, Switzerland.

---

### **Important notes and references follow;**

*Ref: Swiss Criminal Procedure Law. “a lawyer who uses a threat of a criminal procedure against the Financial Advisor of his client, so that his client can avoid the payment of a debt, could be guilty of coercion (Art 181 SCC)”.*

### **IV. INDIRECT OBLIGATIONS – DUTIES ON STATES**

*When international law aims at changing law or practice in the world, it must largely rely on states to effect the change. For example, there are international treaties that require states to outlaw corruption. Without national action by governments, the rules are practically unenforceable.*

*A threat is a communicated intent to inflict harm or loss on another person. A threat is considered an act of coercion. ... Some of the more common types of threats forbidden by law are those made with an intent to obtain a monetary advantage or to compel a person to act against his or her will. The third part is where the problem comes in: the definitions of embezzlement and blackmail differ from state to state, but, by and large, an attempt to obtain money from someone else by threatening to expose them or report them to the authorities arguably constitutes embezzlement or blackmail.*

---

**END**

---